UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTÔRNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/816,681	04/02/2004	Richard A. Wilson JR.	100-2134	9747		
Canon II S A	7590 02/07/2007	EXAM	EXAMINER			
Canon U.S.A. Inc. Intellectual Property Department			ROSEN, NIC	ROSEN, NICHOLAS D		
15975 Alton Pa Irvine, CA 926			· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
111110, 011 120	10		3625	- -		
				·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			02/07/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/816,681	WILSON ET AL.	WILSON ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Nicholas D. Rosen	3625			

J	Examiner	Art Unit	l '			
	Nicholas D. Rosen	3625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	!ress			
THE REPLY FILED 22 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		-				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba îdavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin						
 The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I 	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
 Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 	06.07(f).	•				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	filed within two month	as of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since			
AMENDMENTS						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.11		maliant Amandurant	(DTOL 204)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mpilant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		•	_			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will be will will be will will be wi	ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			·			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: .		•				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	It before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a			
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	at does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	•				
Nicholas D- Rosen						
NICHOLAS D. ROSEN PRIMARY EXAMINER						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argumetns have been considered, but not found necessarily convincing; also, they depend in part on the propsed amendments, which would require further search and/or consideration.